

On rigid string instantons in four dimensions

B.G. Konopelchenko and G. Landolfi

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Lecce, 73100 Lecce, Italy.**

Abstract

Generalized Weierstrass formulae for surfaces in four-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^4$ are used to study (anti)self-dual rigid string configurations. It is shown that such configurations are given by superminimal immersions into $\mathbb{R}^4$. Explicit formulae for generic (anti)instantons are presented. Particular classes of surfaces are also analyzed.

String theory based on the combined Nambu-Goto-Polyakov action has been intensively studied during the last decade (see *e.g.* [1,2]). While formulated for the target space of arbitrary dimensions, it exhibits special interesting properties in four dimensions. In this case, in particular, one can introduce an additional topological “ θ -term” which modifies essentially the properties of the strings [3,4]. Such a total action is of the form

$$S = S_{N-G} + S_P + i\theta I \quad (1)$$

where

$$S_{N-G} = \mu_0 \int [d\Sigma] \quad , \quad (2)$$

$$S_P = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \int \vec{H}^2 [d\Sigma] \quad (3)$$

and I is the self-intersection number given by

$$I = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int [d\Sigma] g^{ab} \partial_a t^{\mu\nu} \partial_b t^{*\mu\nu} \quad . \quad (4)$$

Here X^μ ($\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$) denote coordinates of a surface in the four-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^4$, ξ_a ($a = 1, 2$) are local coordinates on a surface, $g_{ab} = \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \xi^a} \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \xi^b}$ ($a = 1, 2$) is the induced metric, $[d\Sigma] = \sqrt{\det g} d\xi_1 d\xi_2$, $\vec{H}$ denotes a mean curvature vector, $t^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\varepsilon^{ab}}{\sqrt{\det g}} \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \xi^a} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial \xi^b}$ and $t^{*\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} t^{\rho\sigma}$.

Special classical configurations, called instantons, play an important role in the string theory governed by the action (1). They are the solutions of the system [3]

$$\partial_a t^{\mu\nu} = \pm \partial_a t^{*\mu\nu} \quad . \quad (5)$$

*Supported in part by PRIN 97 “Sintesi”

Solutions of the system (5) and their properties have been studied by different methods in a number of papers [1-10]. But the complete description of instantons is still missing.

In this letter we use the generalized Weierstrass representation (GWR) for surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ to analyze solutions of the system (5). We show that general instantons of the theory (1) are given by superminimal immersions into $\mathbb{R}^4$. Explicit form of generic instantons is presented. We consider also some special classes of surfaces (developable surfaces, surfaces with flat normal bundle) which are of non-instanton type but are of importance for the string theory too.

The generalized Weierstrass representation (GWR) for surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ has been proposed recently in [11,12]. It starts with the two two-dimensional Dirac equations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{1z} &= p\varphi_1 & , & & \psi_{2z} &= \bar{p}\varphi_2 & , \\ \varphi_{1\bar{z}} &= -\bar{p}\psi_1 & , & & \varphi_{2\bar{z}} &= -p\psi_2 & . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where z is a complex variable, bar denotes the complex conjugation, $\psi_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, 2$) and p are complex-valued functions. Given the potential $p(z, \bar{z})$ and solutions $\psi_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha$ of the system (6), one defines four real-valued functions X^μ ($\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$) as

$$\begin{aligned} X^1 + iX^2 &= \int_{\Gamma} (-\varphi_1\varphi_2 dz' + \psi_1\psi_2 d\bar{z}') , \\ X^1 - iX^2 &= \int_{\Gamma} (\bar{\psi}_1\bar{\psi}_2 dz' - \bar{\varphi}_1\bar{\varphi}_2 d\bar{z}') , \\ X^3 + iX^4 &= \int_{\Gamma} (\varphi_1\bar{\psi}_2 dz' + \psi_1\bar{\varphi}_2 d\bar{z}') , \\ X^3 - iX^4 &= \int_{\Gamma} (\bar{\psi}_1\varphi_2 dz' + \bar{\varphi}_1\psi_2 d\bar{z}') , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where Γ is a contour in the domain G ($z \in G$). Formulae (7) give a conformal immersion of a surface with local coordinates $z, \bar{z}$ where $z = \xi_1 + i\xi_2$ into $\mathbb{R}^4$. An advantage of the GWR (7) is that many geometrical objects take a simple and compact form. Namely, the induced metric is given by

$$ds^2 = u_1 u_2 dz d\bar{z} \quad (8)$$

where $u_\alpha = |\psi_\alpha|^2 + |\varphi_\alpha|^2$ ($\alpha = 1, 2$), the Gaussian curvature K and normal curvature K_N are of the form

$$K = -\frac{2}{u_1 u_2} [\log(u_1 u_2)]_{z\bar{z}} , \quad K_N = \frac{2}{u_1 u_2} \left[\log\left(\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right) \right]_{z\bar{z}} \quad (9)$$

while the mean curvature vector $\vec{H}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{H} &= \frac{2}{u_1 u_2} [Re(p\psi_2\varphi_1 + \bar{p}\psi_1\varphi_2), Im(p\psi_2\varphi_1 + \bar{p}\psi_1\varphi_2), \\ &\quad Re(p\varphi_1\bar{\varphi}_2 - \bar{p}\psi_1\bar{\psi}_2), Im(p\varphi_1\bar{\varphi}_2 - \bar{p}\psi_1\bar{\psi}_2)] . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using the formulae (6)-(10), for the Nambu-Goto and the Polyakov action one gets [11,12]

$$S_{N-G} = \mu_0 \int u_1 u_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \quad , \quad (11)$$

$$S_P = \frac{4}{\alpha_0} \int |p|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \quad . \quad (12)$$

Then for the self-intersection number (or the total normal curvature, or the first Chern number $c_1(\Sigma)$), one gets the following expression

$$I = \frac{1}{2}c_1 = \frac{i}{8\pi} \int \int_G \left(\log \frac{u_2}{u_1} \right)_{z\bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \frac{i}{8\pi} \int_{\partial G} \left(\log \frac{u_2}{u_1} \right)_z dz \quad (13)$$

where ∂G denotes the boundary of the domain G . For the tensors $t_{\pm}^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{def}{=} t^{\mu\nu} \pm t^{*\mu\nu}$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} t_+^{12} &= t_+^{34} = \frac{|\varphi_1|^2 - |\psi_1|^2}{|\varphi_1|^2 + |\psi_1|^2} \quad , \\ t_+^{13} &= t_+^{42} = i \frac{\psi_1 \varphi_1 - \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\varphi}_1}{|\varphi_1|^2 + |\psi_1|^2} \quad , \\ t_+^{14} &= t_+^{23} = \frac{\psi_1 \varphi_1 + \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\varphi}_1}{|\varphi_1|^2 + |\psi_1|^2} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t_-^{12} &= t_-^{43} = \frac{|\varphi_2|^2 - |\psi_2|^2}{|\varphi_2|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \quad , \\ t_-^{13} &= t_-^{24} = i \frac{\psi_2 \varphi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2 \bar{\varphi}_2}{|\varphi_2|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \quad , \\ t_-^{14} &= t_-^{32} = -\frac{\psi_2 \varphi_2 + \bar{\psi}_2 \bar{\varphi}_2}{|\varphi_2|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now let us consider self-dual and anti-self-dual configurations. They are given by equation

$$t_{\mu\nu}^{\mp} = c_{\mu\nu}^{\mp} \quad (16)$$

where $c_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}$ are constant tensors.

In the self-dual case, using (15), one gets

$$a\varphi_2 = b\bar{\psi}_2 \quad (17)$$

where a and b are arbitrary constants. Effectively one has, obviously, only one arbitrary constant.

The constraint (17) is compatible with the system (6) if $p = 0$. So, in virtue of (10), the self-dual configurations are given by minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$. This result is not new (see *e.g.* [9]). Further, using the GWR (17), one obtains

$$\vec{X}_{zz} \cdot \vec{X}_{zz} = (\bar{\psi}_1 \varphi_{1z} - \bar{\psi}_{1z} \varphi_1) (\bar{\psi}_{2z} \varphi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2 \varphi_{2z}) \quad . \quad (18)$$

Taking into account the constraint (17), one concludes that

$$\left(\bar{X}_{zz}\right)^2 = 0 \quad . \quad (19)$$

The constraint (19) defines the, so-called, superminimal immersion when at each point of a surface its curvature ellipse is a circle [13].

So instantons in the theory (1) are given by surfaces superminimally immersed into $\mathbb{R}^4$.

Similarly, in the anti-self-dual case the expressions (14) give the constraint

$$c\varphi_1 = d\bar{\psi}_1 \quad (20)$$

where c and d are arbitrary constants. Again, this constraint implies that $p = 0$ and $\left(\bar{X}_{zz}\right)^2 = 0$.

So, both instantons and anti-instantons of the string theory in $\mathbb{R}^4$ governed by the action (1) are given by the formulae (6), (7) with $p = 0$ and the constraints (17) or (20), respectively.

Superminimal immersions in $\mathbb{R}^4$ have attracted essential interest in differential geometry (see *e.g.* [13,14]). An advantage of the GWR in this case is that it allows to obtain simple explicit formulae for such immersions. Namely, for the self-dual case the formulae (7) imply

$$\begin{aligned} a(X^1 + iX^2)_z &= -b\varphi_1\bar{\psi}_2 & , & & (X^1 + iX^2)_{\bar{z}} &= \psi_1\psi_2 & , \\ (X^3 + iX^4)_z &= \varphi_1\bar{\psi}_2 & , & & \bar{a}(X^3 + iX^4)_{\bar{z}} &= \bar{b}\psi_1\psi_2 & , \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and for the anti-self-dual case one has

$$\begin{aligned} (X^1 - iX^2)_{\bar{z}} &= \bar{\psi}_1\bar{\psi}_2 & , & & \bar{c}(X^1 - iX^2)_{\bar{z}} &= -\bar{d}\psi_1\bar{\varphi}_2 & , \\ c(X^3 + iX^4)_z &= d\bar{\psi}_1\bar{\psi}_2 & , & & (X^3 + iX^4)_{\bar{z}} &= \psi_1\bar{\varphi}_2 & . \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where ψ_1, ψ_2 are arbitrary anti-holomorphic functions and φ_1, φ_2 are arbitrary holomorphic functions.

The relations (21) give

$$\begin{aligned} a(X^1 + iX^2) + b(X^3 + iX^4) &= A(\bar{z}) & , \\ \bar{b}(X^1 + iX^2) - \bar{a}(X^3 + iX^4) &= B(z) & \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $A(\bar{z})$ and $B(z)$ are arbitrary anti-holomorphic and holomorphic functions, respectively. In the case (22) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} d(X^1 - iX^2) - c(X^3 + iX^4) &= C(\bar{z}) & , \\ \bar{c}(X^1 - iX^2) + \bar{d}(X^3 + iX^4) &= D(z) & \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $C(\bar{z})$ and $D(z)$ are arbitrary anti-holomorphic and holomorphic functions.

Hence, for instantons

$$\begin{aligned} X^1 + iX^2 &= \frac{1}{|a|^2 + |b|^2} [\bar{a}A(\bar{z}) + bB(z)] \quad , \\ X^3 + iX^4 &= \frac{1}{|a|^2 + |b|^2} [\bar{b}A(\bar{z}) - aB(z)] \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and for anti-instantons

$$\begin{aligned} X^1 - iX^2 &= \frac{1}{|c|^2 + |d|^2} [\bar{d}C(\bar{z}) + cD(z)] \quad , \\ X^3 + iX^4 &= \frac{1}{|c|^2 + |d|^2} [-\bar{c}C(\bar{z}) + dD(z)] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Thus, instantons and anti-instantons are given by explicit formulae (25) and (26) where A, B, C, D are arbitrary functions and a, b, c, d are arbitrary constants. Particular cases of these formulae corresponding to $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, $c = 0$ or $d = 0$ have been found, in fact, in the paper [7] where some concrete examples have been also presented.

Now we will consider some other interesting configurations different from instantons.

The developable surfaces (surfaces for which the Gaussian curvature $K = 0$) are the simplest of them. They are given by the GWR (7) where $\psi_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, 2$) obey the system (6) with the additional constraint

$$\left(|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2\right) \left(|\psi_2|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2\right) = |A(z)|^2 \quad (27)$$

where $A(z)$ is an arbitrary holomorphic function.

Another class of surfaces, the so-called surfaces with flat normal bundle, correspond to the vanishing normal curvature $K_N = 0$. In virtue of (9), they are generated by the GWR (6), (7) with the additional constraint

$$\left(|\psi_2|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2\right) = |A(z)|^2 \left(|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2\right) \quad (28)$$

where $A(z)$ is an arbitrary holomorphic function. Surfaces with flat normal bundle represent themselves an important class of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ (see *e.g.* [15,16]).

Choosing $A = 1$, differentiating (28) with respect to z and using (6), one gets

$$\psi_{1\bar{z}}\bar{\psi}_1 + \varphi_{1\bar{z}}\bar{\varphi}_1 = \psi_{2\bar{z}}\bar{\psi}_2 + \varphi_{2\bar{z}}\bar{\varphi}_2 \quad . \quad (29)$$

This condition is satisfied, in particular, if there are functions q and $\tilde{q}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{1\bar{z}} &= q\varphi_1 \quad , & \psi_{2\bar{z}} &= \tilde{q}\varphi_2 \quad , \\ \varphi_{1z} &= -\bar{q}\psi_1 \quad , & \varphi_{2z} &= -\tilde{\bar{q}}\psi_2 \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Equations (6) and (30) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{q}\psi_{1z} - p\psi_{1\bar{z}} &= 0 \quad , & \bar{p}\varphi_{1z} - \underline{\bar{q}}\varphi_{1\bar{z}} &= 0 \quad , \\ \underline{\bar{q}}\psi_{2z} - \bar{p}\psi_{2\bar{z}} &= 0 \quad , & \bar{p}\varphi_{2z} - \underline{\bar{q}}\varphi_{2\bar{z}} &= 0 \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Equations (31) are of the Beltrami type. The theory of such type of equations is well-developed (see *e.g.* [17]). So, one has the class of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ with flat normal bundle generated by the GWR formulae (7) where ψ_α and φ_α ($\alpha = 1, 2$) are defined by equations (31).

Surfaces with constant (non-vanishing) mean curvature $\vec{H}^2$ provide another class of surfaces. In virtue of the formula $\vec{H}^2 = 4 \frac{|p|^2}{u_1 u_2}$ these surfaces are given by the GWR (7) where ψ_α and φ_α satisfy the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\alpha z} &= \frac{1}{2} \exp(i\theta_\alpha) \sqrt{\vec{H}^2 (|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2) (|\psi_2|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2)} \varphi_\alpha, \\ \varphi_{\alpha \bar{z}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \exp(-i\theta_\alpha) \sqrt{\vec{H}^2 (|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2) (|\psi_2|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2)} \psi_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

where $\theta_1(z, \bar{z}) = -\theta_2(z, \bar{z})$ is an arbitrary function. A subclass of such surfaces, the so-called surfaces with the harmonic Gauss map, is associated with the additional constraints

$$p = a (|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2) = b (|\psi_2|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2)\tag{33}$$

where a is an arbitrary constant and $b = \frac{\vec{H}^2}{4a}$. So, surfaces with harmonic Gauss map are given by (6) where ψ_α and φ_α obey the system

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{1z} &= a (|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2) \varphi_1, & \psi_{2z} &= \bar{a} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2) \varphi_2, \\ \varphi_{1\bar{z}} &= -\bar{a} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2) \psi_1, & \varphi_{2\bar{z}} &= -a (|\psi_1|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2) \psi_2.\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Dr. J. Pawełczyk for attracting their attention to papers [8-10].

REFERENCES

1. D.J. Gross, T. Piran and S. Weinberg (Eds.), *Two dimensional quantum gravity and random surfaces*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
2. F. David, P. Ginsparg and Y. Zinn-Justin (Eds.), *Fluctuating geometries in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory*, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1996.
3. A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. **B268**, 406 (1986).
4. A.P. Balachandran, F. Lizzi and G. Sparano, Nucl. Phys. **B263**, 608 (1986).
5. P.O. Mazur and V.P. Nair, Nucl. Phys. **B284**, 146 (1986).
6. J.F. Wheeler, Phys. Lett **B208**, 244 (1988)
7. G.D. Robertson, Phys. Lett **B226**, 244 (1989).

8. J. Pawełczyk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3924 (1995).
9. J. Pawełczyk, Phys. Lett. **B387**, 287, (1996).
10. J. Pawełczyk, Nucl. Phys. **B491**, 515 (1997).
11. B.G. Konopelchenko, *Weierstrass representation for surfaces in 4D spaces and their integrable deformations via the DS hierarchy*, preprint, math.DG/9807129, (1998).
12. B.G. Konopelchenko and G. Landolfi, *Induced surfaces and their integrable dynamics. II. Generalized Weierstrass representations in 4D spaces and deformations via DS hierarchy*, math.DG/ 9810138, (1998).
13. R.L. Bryant, J. Diff. Geom. **17**, 455 (1982).
14. T. Friedrich, Ann. Glob. Analysis and Geometry, **2**, 257 (1984).
15. C.-L. Terng, Math. Ann., **277**, 95 (1987).
16. E.V. Ferapontov, *Surfaces with flat normal bundle: an explicit construction*, preprint SFB288, N.320, TU-Berlin (1998).
17. I.N.Vekua, *Generalized analytic functions*, Nauka, Moscow, 1988.